arXiv:1608.02175v1 [math.PR] 7 Aug 2016

PRECISE LARGE DEVIATIONS OF THE FIRST PASSAGE TIME

DARIUSZ BURACZEWSKI, MARIUSZ MAŚLANKA

ABSTRACT. Let S_n be partial sums of an i.i.d. sequence $\{X_i\}$. We assume that $\mathbb{E}X_1 < 0$ and $\mathbb{P}[X_1 > 0] > 0$. In this paper we study the first passage time

$$\tau_u = \inf\{n : \ S_n > u\}.$$

The classical Cramér's estimate of the ruin probability says that

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau_u < \infty] \sim Ce^{-\alpha_0 u} \qquad \text{as } u \to \infty,$$

for some parameter α_0. The aim of the paper is to describe precise large deviations of the first crossing by S_n a linear boundary, more precisely for a fixed parameter ρ we study asymptotic behavior of $\mathbb{P}\big[\tau_u = \lfloor u/\rho \rfloor\big]$ as u tends to infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\{X_i\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) real valued random variables. We denote by S_n the partial sums of X_i, i.e. $S_0 = 0$, $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. In this paper we are interested in the situation when X_1 has negative drift, but simultaneously $\mathbb{P}[X_1 > 0] > 0$. Our primary objective is to describe the precise large deviations of the linearly normalized first passage time

$$\tau_u = \inf\{n : S_n > u\},$$

as u tends to infinity.

The stopping time τ_u arises in various contexts in probability, e.g. in risk theory, sequential statistical analysis, queueing theory. We refer to Siegmund [10] and Lalley [8] for a comprehensive bibliography. A celebrated result concerning τ_u, playing a major role in the ruin theory, is due to Cramér, who revealed estimate of the ruin probability

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau_u < \infty] \sim Ce^{-\alpha_0 u}, \qquad \text{as } u \to \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

for some parameter α_0 that will be described below (see Cramér [5] and Feller [7]).

Our aim is to describe the probability that at a given time partial sums S_n first cross a linear boundary ρn. This problem was studied e.g. by Siegmund [10] and continued by Lalley [8]. Up to our best knowledge all the known results concern probabilities of the form $\mathbb{P}[\tau_u < u/\rho]$ or $\mathbb{P}[u/\rho < \tau_u < \infty]$, see Lalley [8] (see also Arfwedson [1] and Asmussen [2] for similar results related to compound Poisson risk model). In this paper we describe pointwise behavior of τ_u, i.e. the asymptotic behavior of $\mathbb{P}\big[\tau_u = \lfloor u/\rho \rfloor\big]$ as u tends to infinity.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G50, 60F10.

Key words and phrases. first passage time, ruin problem, large deviations, random walk.

The research was partially supported by the National Science Centre, Poland (Sonata Bis, grant number UMO-2014/14/E/ST1/00588).

2. Statement of the results

Our main result will be expressed in terms of the moment and cumulant generating functions of X_1, i.e.

$$\lambda(s) = \mathbb{E}[e^{sX_1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(s) = \log \lambda(s),$$

respectively. We assume that $\lambda(s)$ exists for s in the interval $D = [0, s_0)$ for some $s_0 > 0$. It is well known that both λ and Λ are smooth and convex on D. Throughout the paper we assume that there are $\alpha \in D$ and $\xi > 0$ such that

$$\rho = \Lambda'(\alpha) > 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\lambda(\alpha + \xi) < \infty.$$

Observe that (2.1) implies that $\mathbb{P}[X_1 > 0] > 0$.

Recall the convex conjugate (or the Fenchel-Legendre transform) of Λ defined by

$$\Lambda^*(x) = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \{sx - \Lambda(s)\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This rate function appears in studying large deviations problems for random walks. Its various properties can be found in Dembo, Zeitouni [6]. Given $\alpha < s_0$ and ρ as in (2.1) we consider

$$\overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\rho}\, \Lambda^*(\rho).$$

An easy calculation shows

$$\overline{\alpha} = \alpha - \frac{\Lambda(\alpha)}{\Lambda'(\alpha)}.$$

The parameter $\overline{\alpha}$ arises in the classical large deviations theory for random walks. The Petrov's theorem and the Bahadur-Rao theorem say that

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n > n\rho] \sim C\, \frac{e^{-\overline{\alpha} n \rho}}{\sqrt{n}} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

(see Petrov [9] and Dembo, Zeitouni [6]). As we will see below $\overline{\alpha}$ will play also the crucial role in our result. This parameter has a geometric interpretation: the tangent line to Λ at point α intersects the x-axis at $\overline{\alpha}$. See the Figure 1 below.

Figure 1. $\Lambda(s) = \log \mathbb{E} e^{sX_1}$



We also introduce parameters k_u and α_{min} defined by

$$\alpha_{min} = \arg\min \Lambda(s) \quad \text{and} \quad k_u = \frac{u}{\rho}.$$

Now we are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $\{X_i\}$ is an i.i.d. sequence such that the law of X_1 is nonlattice, $\mathbb{E}X_1 < 0$ and $\rho = \Lambda'(\alpha) > 0$ for some $\alpha < s_0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_u = \lfloor k_u \rfloor\right] = C(\alpha)\lambda(\alpha)^{-\Theta(u)} \frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}} \left(1 + o(1)\right) \quad as \quad u \to \infty$$

for some constant $C(\alpha) > 0$ and $\Theta(u) = k_u - \lfloor k_u \rfloor$.

Notice that the above formula gives the largest asymptotics when $\alpha = \alpha_0$ for α_0 such that $\Lambda(\alpha_0) = 0$. Then $\overline{\alpha}_0 = \alpha_0$. For all the other parameters α we have $\overline{\alpha} > \overline{\alpha}_0$. The parameter α_0 arises in the Cramér's formula (1.1).

Similar results were obtained by Lalley, who proved that for α such that $\Lambda(\alpha) > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_u \leqslant k_u\right] = C_1(\alpha)\lambda(\alpha)^{-\Theta(u)} \frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}} \left(1 + o(1)\right) \quad \text{as} \quad u \to \infty$$

and for α such that $\Lambda(\alpha) < 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_u > k_u\right] = C_2(\alpha)\lambda(\alpha)^{1-\Theta(u)} \frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}} \left(1 + o(1)\right) \quad \text{as} \quad u \to \infty,$$

for some known, depending only on α constants $C_1(\alpha)$, $C_2(\alpha)$ (see Lalley [8], Theorem 5).

Notice that the function $\Theta(u)$ appears in all the formulas above only from purely technical reason. It reflects the fact that τ_u attains only integer values, whereas k_u is continuous. Thus the function Θ is needed only to adjust both expressions for noninteger values of k_u. Below we will omit this point and without any saying we assume that k_u is an integer.

3. Auxillary results.

The proof of Theorem 2.3 bases on the Petrov's theorem and the Bahadur-Rao theorem describing precise large deviations for random walks (2.2). We apply here techniques, which were recently used by Buraczewski et al. [3, 4] to study the problem of the first passage time in a more general context of perpetuities. They obtained similar results as described above, but in our context the proof is essentially simpler and final results are stronger.

Here we need a reinforced version of (2.2), which is both uniform and allows to slightly perturb the parameters. As a direct consequence of Petrov's theorem [9] the following results was proved in [3]:

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that the law of X_1 is nonlattice and that ρ satisfies $\mathbb{E}X_1 < \rho < A_0$. Choose α such that $\Lambda'(\alpha) = \rho$. If $\{\delta_n\}$, $\{j_n\}$ are two sequences satisfying*

$$\max\{\sqrt{n}\,|\delta_n|\,, j_n/\sqrt{n}\} \leqslant \overline{\delta}_n \to 0, \tag{3.2}$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[S_{n-j_n} > n\left(\rho + \delta_n\right)\right] = C(\alpha)\frac{e^{-\overline{\alpha}n\rho}}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-\alpha n\delta_n} \lambda(\alpha)^{-j_n}(1 + o(1)) \qquad as\ n \to \infty,$$

uniformly with respect to ρ in the range

$$\mathbb{E}X + \epsilon \leqslant \rho \leqslant A_0 - \epsilon,$$

and for all δ_n, j_n as in (3.2).

Let us define $M_n = \max_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n} S_k$ and $S_i^n = S_n - S_{n-i} = X_{n-i+1} + ... + X_n$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. The following Lemma will play a crucial role in the proof.

Lemma 3.3. *Let L and M be two integers such that $L \geqslant 1$ and $-1 \leqslant M \leqslant L$. For any $\gamma \geqslant 0$, $\alpha_{min} < \beta < \alpha$ and sufficiently large u, the following holds*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u-L} > u, S_{k_u-M} > u - \gamma\right] \leqslant C(\alpha,\beta) e^{\gamma\beta} \lambda(\alpha)^{-L} \lambda(\beta)^{L-M} \frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}},$$

where $C(\alpha,\beta)$ is some constant depending on α and β.

Proof. We have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u-L} > u, S_{k_u-M} > u - \gamma\right] \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{k_u-1-L} \mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-M} > u - \gamma, S_{k_u-i-L} > u\right].$$

Denote $\delta = \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha)} < 1$. To estimate the above series, we divide the set of indices into two sets.
Case 1. First we consider i satisfying $i > K \log k_u$ for some constant K such that $\delta^{K \log k_u} < 1/u$. Notice that for any u we have

$$e^{-u\overline{\alpha}} = e^{-u\alpha} \lambda(\alpha)^{k_u}.$$

Then, for any such i we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[S_{k_u-M} > u-\gamma, S_{k_u-i-L} > u] &\leqslant \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[S_{k_u-M} > u-\gamma, u+m < S_{k_u-i-L} \leqslant u+m+1] \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\Big[S_{k_u-i-L} + S_{L+i-M}^{k_u-M} > u-\gamma, u+m < S_{k_u-i-L} \leqslant u+m+1\Big] \\
&\leqslant \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\Big[S_{L+i-M}^{k_u-M} > -\gamma-(m+1)\Big] \mathbb{P}[S_{k_u-i-L} > u+m] \\
&\leqslant \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{\beta\gamma} e^{\beta(m+1)} \lambda(\beta)^{L+i-M} e^{-u\alpha} e^{-\alpha m} \lambda(\alpha)^{k_u-i-L} \\
&\leqslant C(\alpha,\beta) e^{\beta\gamma} \delta^i e^{-u\overline{\alpha}} \lambda(\alpha)^{-L} \lambda(\beta)^{L-M},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third line we used Markov's inequality with functions $e^{\beta x}$ and $e^{\alpha x}$. Summing over i we obtain

$$\sum_{K\log k_u<i\leqslant k_u-1+L}\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-M}>u-\gamma,S_{k_u-i-L}>u\right]\leqslant C(\alpha,\beta)\sum_{i>K\log k_u}e^{\beta\gamma}\delta^i e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}$$
$$\leqslant C(\alpha,\beta)e^{\beta\gamma}\delta^{K\log k_u}e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}$$
$$\leqslant C(\alpha,\beta)e^{\beta\gamma}\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{u}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}.$$

Case 2. Now consider $i\leqslant K\log k_u$. Let N be a constant such that $-\alpha N+1<0$, for $\Lambda(\alpha)\geqslant 0$ and $-\alpha N+1-\Lambda(\alpha)K<0$ for $\Lambda(\alpha)<0$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-M}>u-\gamma,S_{k_u-i-L}>u\right]\leqslant\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-i-L}\geqslant u+N\log k_u\right]$$
$$+\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-M}>u-\gamma,u<S_{k_u-i-L}<u+N\log k_u\right]$$
$$=P_1+P_2$$

The first term P_1 we estimate using Markov's inequality with function $e^{\alpha x}$ and we obtain

$$P_1\leqslant e^{-u\alpha}k_u^{-\alpha N}\lambda(\alpha)^{k_u-i-L}=e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}k_u^{-\alpha N}\lambda(\alpha)^{-i}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\leqslant C(\alpha)e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}\frac{1}{u}k_u^{-\alpha N+1}e^{-i\Lambda(\alpha)}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}$$
$$\leqslant C(\alpha)e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}\frac{1}{u}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}.$$

To estimate P_2 we apply Lemma 3.1 and again Markov's inequality with function $e^{\beta x}$.

$$P_2=\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-i-L}+S_{L+i-M}^{k_u-M}>u-\gamma,u<S_{k_u-i-L}<u+N\log k_u\right]$$
$$\leqslant\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil N\log k_u-1\rceil}\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-i-L}+S_{L+i-M}^{k_u-M}>u-\gamma,u+m<S_{k_u-i-L}<u+m+1\right]$$
$$\leqslant\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil N\log k_u-1\rceil}\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-i-L}>u+m\right]\mathbb{P}\left[S_{L+i-M}^{k_u-M}>-\gamma-(m+1)\right]$$
$$\leqslant\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil N\log k_u-1\rceil}C(\alpha)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{k_u}}\lambda(\alpha)^{-i-L}e^{-\alpha m}e^{\beta\gamma}e^{\beta(m+1)}\lambda(\beta)^{i+L-M}$$
$$\leqslant\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil N\log k_u-1\rceil}C(\alpha,\beta)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{k_u}}e^{(\beta-\alpha)m}\delta^i e^{\beta\gamma}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}$$
$$\leqslant C(\alpha,\beta)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}\delta^i e^{\beta\gamma}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}.$$

Now we sum over i

$$\sum_{i\leqslant K\log k_u}\mathbb{P}[S_{k_u-M}>u-\gamma,S_{k_u-i-L}>u]$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{i\leqslant K\log k_u}(P_1+P_2)$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{i\leqslant K\log k_u}\left(C(\alpha)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{u}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}+C(\alpha,\beta)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}\delta^i e^{\beta\gamma}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}\right)$$
$$\leqslant C(\alpha)e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}\frac{\log k_u}{u}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}+C(\alpha,\beta)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}e^{\beta\gamma}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}.$$

Combining both cases we end up with

$$\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u-L}>u,S_{k_u-M}>u-\gamma\right]\leqslant C(\alpha,\beta)e^{\beta\gamma}\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{u}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}+C(\alpha)e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}\frac{\log k_u}{u}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}$$
$$+C(\alpha,\beta)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}e^{\beta\gamma}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}$$
$$\leqslant C(\alpha,\beta)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}e^{\beta\gamma}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L-M}.$$

□

4. Lower and upper estimates

The goal of this section is to prove the following

Proposition 4.1. *There is a constant $C>0$ such that for large u*

$$\frac{1}{C}\,\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}\leqslant\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_u=k_u+1\right]\leqslant C\,\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. First, observe that the upper estimate is an immediate consequence of Petrov's theorem (Lemma 3.1) used with $\gamma_n=0$. Indeed, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_u=k_u+1\right]=\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u}\leqslant u,S_{k_u+1}>u\right]\leqslant\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u+1}>u\right]\leqslant C(\alpha)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}.$$

For the lower estimate we write for any positive γ and any positive integer L

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_u=k_u+1\right]=\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u}\leqslant u,S_{k_u+1}>u\right]\geqslant\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u}\leqslant u,S_{k_u+1}>u,S_{L+1}^{k_u+1}>\gamma\right].$$

For any $0<r<\gamma$ one has

$$\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u}\leqslant u,S_{k_u+1}>u,S_{L+1}^{k_u+1}>\gamma\right]\geqslant\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u}\leqslant u,u-\gamma<S_{k_u-L}<r+u-\gamma,S_{L+1}^{k_u+1}>\gamma\right].$$

Let $M_i^n{=}\max(0, S_1^{n-i+1}, S_2^{n-i+2}, S_3^{n-i+3}, ..., S_{i-1}^{n-1}, S_i^n)$. Note that $M_{k_u}{=}\max(M_{k_u-L}, S_{k_u-L}{+}M_L^{k_u})$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[M_{k_u} \leqslant u, u-\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r+u-\gamma, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} > \gamma] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u-L} \leqslant u, S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, u-\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r+u-\gamma, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} > \gamma\right] \\ &\geqslant \mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u-L} \leqslant u, M_L^{k_u} \leqslant -r+\gamma, u-\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r+u-\gamma, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} > \gamma\right].\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we combine above, use independence of $(M_L^{k_u}, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1})$ and (M_{k_u-L}, S_{k_u-L}) and the identity $\mathbb{P}[A\cap B] = \mathbb{P}[A] - \mathbb{P}[A\cap B^c]$ to obtain

(4.3)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\tau_u{=}k_u{+}1] &\geqslant \mathbb{P}\Big[M_{k_u-L} \leqslant u, M_L^{k_u} \leqslant -r{+}\gamma, u{-}\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r{+}u{-}\gamma, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} > \gamma\Big] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[M_{k_u-L} \leqslant u, u{-}\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r{+}u{-}\gamma]\,\mathbb{P}\Big[M_L^{k_u} \leqslant -r{+}\gamma, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} > \gamma\Big] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\Big[M_L^{k_u} \leqslant -r{+}\gamma, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} > \gamma\Big] \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbb{P}[u{-}\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r{+}u{-}\gamma] - \mathbb{P}[M_{k_u-L} > u, u{-}\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r{+}u{-}\gamma]\right).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 gives an asymptotics

$$\mathbb{P}\left[u-\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r+u-\gamma\right] \sim C(\alpha, r)e^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}} \qquad \text{as } u\to\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Using Lemma 3.3 with $M = L$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u-L} > u, u-\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r+u-\gamma\right] &\leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u-L} > u, S_{k_u-L} > u-\gamma\right] \\ &\leqslant C(\alpha,\beta)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}e^{\beta\gamma}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L},\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\beta < \alpha$. From (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\tau_u{=}k_u{+}1] &\geqslant \mathbb{P}\Big[S_{L+1}^{k_u+1}{>}\gamma, M_L^{k_u} \leqslant -r{+}\gamma\Big] \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbb{P}[u{-}\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r{+}u{-}\gamma] - \mathbb{P}[M_{k_u-L} > u, u{-}\gamma < S_{k_u-L} < r{+}u{-}\gamma]\right) \\ &\geqslant \mathbb{P}\Big[S_{L+1}^{k_u+1}{>}\gamma, M_L^{k_u} \leqslant -r{+}\gamma\Big]\left(C(\alpha,r)\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}e^{\alpha\gamma} - C(\alpha,\beta)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}e^{\beta\gamma}\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[S_{L+1}^{k_u+1}{>}\gamma, M_L^{k_u} \leqslant -r+\gamma\right]\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}\left(C(\alpha,r)e^{\alpha\gamma} - C(\alpha,\beta)e^{\beta\gamma}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\left(M_i^n, S_{i+1}^{n+1}\right) \stackrel{d}{=} (M_i, S_{i+1})$. To make constants in the last term strictly positive firstly pick $r > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left[X_1 > 2r\right] > 0$. Next, take $\gamma > 0$ big enough to ensure that $C(\alpha,r)e^{\alpha\gamma} - C(\alpha,\beta)e^{\beta\gamma} > 0$ and $\gamma - 2r > 0$. Now we choose large L to have $\mathbb{P}\left[LX_1 > -2r+\gamma\right] > 0$. Since γ is continuous parameter, if necessary, we can increase it to

get $\mathbb{P}\left[-2r+\gamma < LX_1 < -r+\gamma\right] > 0$. For such constants we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \mathbb{P}\left[X_{L+1} > 2r\right] \prod_{i=1}^{L} \mathbb{P}\left[-2r+\gamma < LX_i < -r+\gamma\right] \\
&\leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[X_{L+1} > 2r, S_L \geqslant S_{L-1} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant S_1, -2r+\gamma < S_L < -r+\gamma\right] \\
&\leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[X_{L+1} > 2r, S_L = M_L, -2r+\gamma < S_L < -r+\gamma\right] \\
&\leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[M_L < -r+\gamma, S_{L+1} > \gamma\right],
\end{aligned}$$

and (4.2) follows. □

5. Asymptotics

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We will show that the limit

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} e^{u\overline{\alpha}}\sqrt{u}\,\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_u = k_u + 1\right] \tag{5.1}$$

exists, which combined with Proposition 4.1 gives us Theorem 2.3.
Fix an arbitrary L. Since $M_{k_u} = \max(M_{k_u-L}, S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u\right] &= \mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u, M_{k_u-L} > u\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u, M_{k_u-L} \leqslant u\right] \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u, M_{k_u-L} > u\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u\right] \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u, M_{k_u-L} > u\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\left[\tau_u = k_u + 1\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

From Lemma 3.3 with $M = -1$ and $\gamma = 0$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left[M_{k_u-L} > u, S_{k_u+1} > u\right] \leqslant C(\alpha,\beta)\lambda(\alpha)^{-L}\lambda(\beta)^{L+1}\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}} = C(\alpha,\beta)\delta^L\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}},$$

where $\delta = \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha)} < 1$ provided $\beta < \alpha$. Thus to get (5.1) it is sufficient to show that for some large fixed L

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} e^{u\overline{\alpha}}\sqrt{u}\,\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u\right]$$

exists. Indeed, multiply both sides of (5.2) by $e^{u\overline{\alpha}}\sqrt{u}$, let first $u \to \infty$ and then $L \to \infty$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u\right] = \quad & \mathbb{P}\left[u - u^{\frac{1}{4}} < S_{k_u-L} < u, S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u\right] \\
& + \mathbb{P}\left[u - u^{\frac{1}{4}} \geqslant S_{k_u-L}, S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u\right].
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the second summand fix $\beta > \alpha$ and observe that by Markov's inequality with functions $e^{\alpha x}$ and $e^{\beta x}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[S_{k_u-L} \leqslant u - u^{\frac{1}{4}}, S_{k_u+1} > u] &\\
&\leqslant \sum_{m\geqslant 0} \mathbb{P}\left[u - u^{\frac{1}{4}} - (m+1) < S_{k_u-L} \leqslant u - u^{\frac{1}{4}} - m, S_{k_u-L} + S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} > u\right] \\
&\leqslant \sum_{m\geqslant 0} \mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} > u - u^{\frac{1}{4}} - (m+1)\right] \mathbb{P}\left[S_{L+1} > u^{\frac{1}{4}} + m\right] \\
&\leqslant \sum_{m\geqslant 0} \lambda(\alpha)^{k_u-L} e^{-u\alpha} e^{\alpha u^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{\alpha(m+1)} \lambda(\beta)^{L+1} e^{-\beta u^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\beta m} \\
&= \lambda(\alpha)^{k_u-L} e^{-u\alpha} e^{(\alpha-\beta)u^{\frac{1}{4}}} \lambda(\beta)^{L+1} \sum_{m\geqslant 0} e^{\alpha(m+1)} e^{-\beta m} = o\left(\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The same argument proves

$$\mathbb{P}\big[S_{k_u-L} > u - u^{\frac{1}{4}}, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} > u^{\frac{1}{4}}\big] = o\left(\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}\right).$$

Now we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u] &\\
&= \mathbb{P}\left[u - u^{\frac{1}{4}} < S_{k_u-L} < u, S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u\right] + o\left(\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\Big[u - u^{\frac{1}{4}} < S_{k_u-L} < u, S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} < u^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big] + o\left(\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence we reduced our problem to finding

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} e^{u\overline{\alpha}}\sqrt{u}\,\mathbb{P}\left[u - u^{\frac{1}{4}} < S_{k_u-L} < u, S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u+1} > u, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} < u^{\frac{1}{4}}\right].$$

For this purpose we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left[u - u^{\frac{1}{4}} < S_{k_u-L} < u, S_{k_u-L} + M_L^{k_u} \leqslant u, S_{k_u-L} + S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} > u, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} < u^{\frac{1}{4}}\right] \\
&= \int_{0\leqslant y\leqslant x < u^{\frac{1}{4}}} \mathbb{P}\left[u - x < S_{k_u-L} < u - y\right] \mathbb{P}\left[M_L^{k_u} \in dy, S_{L+1}^{k_u+1} \in dx\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Now we apply Lemma 3.1 with $n = k_u$, $j_n = L$, $\overline{\delta}_n = Cn^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\delta_n = -\frac{y}{n}$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} \geqslant u - y\right] = C(\alpha)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}} e^{y\alpha} e^{-L\Lambda(\alpha)}(1 + o(1)),$$

provided $\max\left\{\frac{\sqrt{u}}{u}y,\, L/\sqrt{u}\right\} \leqslant Cu^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. But since $y < u^{\frac{1}{4}}$ all the assumptions of the Lemma are satisfied. Analogously

$$\mathbb{P}\left[S_{k_u-L} \geqslant u - x\right] = C(\alpha)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}} e^{x\alpha} e^{-L\Lambda(\alpha)}(1 + o(1)).$$

Back to (5.3) we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left[u-u^{\frac{1}{4}}<S_{k_u-L}<u, S_{k_u-L}+M_L^{k_u}\leqslant u, S_{k_u-L}+S_{L+1}^{k_u+1}>u\right]\\&=C(\alpha)\frac{e^{-u\overline{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{u}}e^{-L\Lambda(\alpha)}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(e^{\alpha S_{L+1}}-e^{\alpha M_L}\right)_+\right](1+o(1))\quad\text{as } u\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Note that by the moment assumptions the expectation above is finite, hence we conclude (5.1). □

References

[1] G. Arfwedson. Research in collective risk theory. Part II. *Skand. Aktuarietidskr.*, 53–100, 1955.

[2] S. Asmussen. *Ruin Probabilities*. River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 2000.

[3] D. Buraczewski, J. F. Collamore, E. Damek, J. Zienkiewicz. Large deviation estimates for exceedance times of perpetuity sequences and their dual processes. to appear in Ann. Probab., arxiv.org/abs/1411.7693.

[4] D. Buraczewski, E. Damek, J. Zienkiewicz. Pointwise estimates for exceedance times of perpetuity sequences. Preprint, arxiv.org/abs/1512.03449.

[5] H. Cramér. On the mathematical theory of risk. *Skandia Jubilee Volume*, Stockholm, 1930.

[6] A. Dembo, O. Zeitouni. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*. Boston: Jones and Bartlett, 1993

[7] W. Feller. An introduction to probability theory and its applications. John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York, 1966.

[8] S. Lalley. Limit theorems for first-passage times in linear and nonlinear renewal theory. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* 16, no. 4, 766–803, 1984.

[9] V. Petrov. On the probabilities of large deviations for sums of independent random variables. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 10, 287–298, 1965.

[10] D. Siegmund. Corrected diffusion approximations in certain random walk problems. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* 11, 701–719, 1979.

D. Buraczewski, M. Maślanka, Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wroclawski, 50-384 Wroclaw, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, Poland

E-mail address: dbura@math.uni.wroc.pl, maslanka@math.uni.wroc.pl